

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/679,004 | BERMAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner Fernando L. Toledo | Art Unit 2823 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fernando L. Toledo. (3) _____.

(2) Francis T. Kalinski II. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All matters were discussed without reaching an agreement. Applicant will amend objected claims incorporating all the limitations of the independent claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

| | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/679,004 | BERMAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Fernando L. Toledo | 2823 |

All Participants:

(1) Fernando L. Toledo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Francis Kalinski II.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2005

Time: 4:45pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claim 21 to limit the size of the whiskers to be less than 100nm in length.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)